

Feedback of Students 2017-18


Feedback is taken and analyzed at various Departmental level. Feedback from students, parents, alumni is regularly taken by the departments. Feedback committee collects and analyzes the feedback form and makes suggestions to respective bodies. Feedback on teachers and curriculum is collected from students and suggestions are incorporated by the departments. Feedback of parents is collected in PTM and the feedbacks of alumni are collected in alumni meet. The suggestions given in the Feedback forms are analyzed rigorously and immediate steps are taken to implement the feasible suggestions for the betterment of the students and the institution. To improve the teaching methods many instruments, charts, models, specimen & slides are added to the present stock of departments. Seminar, lectures, guest lecturers have also been arranged. Most of the parents are satisfied with the academic, teaching and other activities. Departmental Library is upgraded to make more books available for students.

Feedback is taken on various parameters like:


Feedback on Teachers: Knowledge, communication skills, sincerity, ability to integrate course, material accessibility of teacher, ability to design quizzes

Feedback on Course: Feedback on course depth, coverage of course, applicability, relevance, learning value, clarity and library.

Department wise Students Feedback on Teachers 2017-18				
Department	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Biochemistry	53.77	46.23	0	0
Bioinformatics	84.22	15.78	0	0
Biotechnology	53.85	46.15	0	0
Botany	96.94	3.06	0	0
Chemistry	74.31	22.13	3.56	0
Computer Science	70.11	29.89	0	0
Electronics	70.96	29.04	0	0
Fisheries	63.63	36.37	0	0
Forensic Science	64.58	32.26	3.16	0
Geology	58.77	41.23	0	0
Horticulture	100	0	0	0
Language	82	13.67	4.33	0
Mathematics	34.33	28.25	37.42	0
Microbiology	55.55	13.57	30.88	0
Pharma. Chemistry	69.6	28.8	1.6	0
Physics	46.2	48.8	5	0
Seed Technology	100	0	0	0
Statistics	63.5	36.5	0	0
Zoology	53.84	40.47	5.69	0
AVG.	68.21895	26.95789	4.823158	0



Feedback on Teachers




V. Good
 Good
 Satisfactory
 Unsatisfactory


7

C

Department wise Students Feedback on Course 2017-18				
Department	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Biochemistry	58.55	41.45	0	0
Bioinformatics	82.22	14.8	2.98	0
Biotechnology	56.84	41.15	2.01	0
Botany	92.96	4.03	3.01	0
Chemistry	76.31	19.13	4.56	0
Computer Science	73.12	26.88	0	0
Electronics	72.97	27.03	0	0
Fisheries	67.64	32.36	0	0
Forensic Science	65.58	30.25	4.17	0
Geology	61.88	38.12	0	0
Horticulture	97	3	0	0
Language	80	10.46	9.54	0
Mathematics	40.33	17.14	42.53	0
Microbiology	58.55	3.52	37.93	0
Pharma. Chemistry	65.6	31.6	2.8	0
Physics	53.45	37.38	9.17	0
Seed Technology	89	11	0	0
Statistics	63.5	36.5	0	0
Zoology	59.84	32.4	7.76	0
AVG.	69.22842	24.11579	6.655789	0



Feedback on Course



■ V. Good ■ Good ■ Satisfactory ■ Unsatisfactory

Questionnaire on Student feedback on Teacher:


S.No.	Parameters	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)				
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)				
3	Sincerity/ Commitment of the teacher				
4	Interest generated by the teacher				
5	Ability to integrated course material with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective				
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)				
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests / Assignments/ Examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course.				
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback				
10	Overall Rating				


2

2

Questionnaire on Student feedback on Course:

S.No.	Parameters	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any				
2	Extent of coverage of course				
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations				
4	Learning value (in terms of Knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				
5	Clarity and Relevance of textual reading materials				
6	Relevance or additional sources material (library)				
7	Extent of effort required by students				
8	Overall rating				


 IQAC Coordinator
Coordinator (IQAC)
 Govt. Holkar (Model, Autonomous)
 Science College, INDORE


 Principal
 रा. होलकर विज्ञान महाविद्यालय
 इन्दौर